

**February 20, 2024**

Valerie Negrete  
County of Santa Clara  
Department of Planning and Development  
County Government Center, East Wing  
70 West Hedding Street, 7th Floor  
San Jose, California 95110



**RE: Planning Record Number 23-079 (previous County Record #PLN 21-122, PLN 18-11373)  
Use permit, architectural and site approval with grading approval for religious institution.  
Weller and Calaveras Blvd., Milpitas, CA 95035 (APN 042-0-029)**

**Responses to Notice of Incomplete letter dated December 28, 2023**

Dear Valerie,

Our responses to the Incomplete Letter of December 28, 2023, are as follows. The revised documentation has been uploaded to the County's website.

**PLANNING**

1. Drawing A1.2 has been updated to graphically enhance the parking stalls, stall numbers have been added to each stall, and the parking tabulation and parking notes have been amended in concert with our discussions.

**LAND DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING**

2. through 5. See attached response letter from RI Engineering.

Please let us know if you have further comments or questions.

Regards,

Robert Boles  
Principal  
Beausoleil Architects



Civil Engineering

303 Potrero Street  
Suite 42-202  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
831-425-3901  
www.riengineering.com

February 15, 2024

Mr. Darrell Wong, PE  
County of Santa Clara  
Department of Planning and Development  
County Government Center, East Wing  
70 West Hedding Street, 7th Floor  
San Jose, California 95110

Subject: Response to the County of Santa Clara Comments for the Review of the Jain Temple, PLN21-122, at Weller and Calaveras Blvd, Santa Clara County, CA, APN 042-0-029, Dated December 28, 2024

We have prepared this response your comments for the above noted project. The following responses correspond to the particular County comments provided.

## **Land Development Engineering – Darrell Wong**

2. Provide a revised set of rainwater harvesting calculations. Please provide justification of water usage to draw down the stormwater harvested in the cistern. The usage for stormwater harvested from a design storm must draw down the calculated within an appropriate time frame allowing for consecutive storms to be appropriately captured. The rainwater harvesting calculations shall follow the format per the SCVURPPP C3 Guidance Manual.

*Response: As we discussed over the phone the rainwater harvesting system is not being considered as a DMA. We have revised the design of the site to convey water from this roof area to a bioretention area – DMA-O. This is shown on the attached plans sheet C-12.*

3. Typical section details shall be provided for the pervious surfaces of the play area showing appropriate drainage detention storage volume of the section. If this specific surface will not store drainage runoff, the subdrain system shall be routed to the appropriate drainage treatment area and the Drainage Management Area plans revised accordingly.

*Response: Runoff from the play area will be routed to DMA-O. DMA-O has been sized to accommodate this area.*

4. The plan view indicates a darker shading for walks suggesting that they will be an AC surface. The Call Outs call for a pervious Granite-Crete surface. The call outs and shading should be revised for consistency. If the surface is to AC, the drainage runoff shall be routed to an appropriate treatment facility and reflected on the Drainage Management Area Plans.

*Response: The pathways are to be surfaced with Granite-Crete. Detail 5/C-6 provides a typical cross section for pathway paving. The plans have been revised to more clearly differentiate areas with Granite-Crete surfacing from AC paving.*



- 5 Please provide a revised Stormwater Questionnaire correcting the existing impervious area retained and question #5 indicating exemption from HM requirements. The form provided indicates that existing impervious area is being retained, but no existing improvements appear to be retained as a part of the development. If the existing impervious area is being retained, the only improvement to those areas would be maintenance of the existing improvements or surface. You may indicate on sheet C-12 which areas will be retained if there are indeed any. C-12 indicates over 81,000sf of impervious area that is being created, while the questionnaire indicates 77,000sf of now or replaced impervious area. This discrepancy should be corrected. Hydromodification improvements should be provided with the proposed improvements and shown on the plans.

*Response: An updated questionnaire is attached with this letter. The numbers shown on the form now match those shown on sheet C-12. Only a small portion of the existing driveway is being retained. This is shown on the questionnaire. Hydromodification improvements have been added to the plans. They have been conservatively sized using the BAHM program for each drainage basin. No credit or accounting of the DMO's were included at this time. The design of the systems will be refined during the development of the final improvement plans.*

If there are any further comments or questions regarding the responses, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,  
**RI Engineering Inc.**

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'R. Irish'.

Richard Irish, PE  
RCE # 45820

