

# **Response to ASA Completeness Comments**

DistributionProjectCounty of Santa ClaraDaper Corporate Yard

County of Santa Clara
Department of Planning and Development
County Government Center, East Wing, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor
70 West Hedding Street
San Jose, CA 95110

From Issue Date 2024.02.27

Korth Sunseri Hagey Architects

Project Number
Permit # PLN24-010

Address: 625 Nelson Road, Stanford (APN:142-04-036)

Permit #: PLN24-010

The following are Response to ASA Completeness Comments provided by the County of Santa Clara on Feb 8, 2024.

## <u>Planning</u>

1. Submit a vicinity map that clearly delineates location of the project site in relation to the stadium and existing landscape and existing trees. The vicinity maps on sheets PL0.0, PL1.2, C-2.0 do not provide sufficient detail of the surrounding area. Location of the project site shown on sheets C-2.0 and C-9.0 does not match.

Response: The Vicinity Map has been updated to provide sufficient detail of the surrounding area. Location of the site has been updated to match what is shown on Sheet C-2.0 and C-9.0. See revised Sheet PL0.0, C-2.0 and C-9.0.

- 2. Provide a Site Plan in the architectural set of drawings showing 'Limit of Work' and distance of project site from "Campus Open Space". This plan should include construction fence boundary shown on the Construction and Logistics Plan (C-8.0) and existing trees overlapping the project site. Response: An overall site plan has been prepared showing "Limit of Work' and distance of project site from "Campus Open Space". The plan also includes construction fence boundary shown on the Construction and Logistics Plan (C-8.0) and existing trees overlapping the project site. See Sheet C-2.1 Overall Site Plan.
- 3. Provide information regarding size of the three proposed structures on sheets PL0.0 and A2.1.

Response: Note that the proposed structures are non-GUP.

Structure A: 645 SF, 8'-10.5" height Structure B: 600 SF, 8'-10.5" height Structure C: 4,500 SF, 14'-2-1/8" height

page 1



- 4. It appears that the proposed development is located within the dripline of several trees. Submit an arborist report, prepared by an ISA certified arborist, with analysis regarding protection measures for trees overlapping the project site, which includes the area within the construction fence boundary. This information is to ensure that trees adjacent to the project limit line, can be protected in place and will not be damaged by the proposed construction.
  - Response: The Arborist report is included, and the sheet C3-0 is updated.
- 5. Revise sheet C-3.0 to identify the construction fence boundary and all the existing trees overlapping the project site. This information must be consistent with the arborist report.

  Response: Sheet C-3.0 has been revised to show the construction fence boundary and all the existing trees overlapping the project site that is consistent with the arborist report. Please see revised Sheet C-3.0.
- 6. Revise sheet C-8.0 to clearly demarcate location of off-street construction related parking. *Response: For the SU parking Map*, see sheet C-8.0
- 7. The Earthwork Quantities Table on sheet C-1.0 is incomplete as it does not identify the amount of cut and fill associated with utility trench and the building pad. The Earthwork Quantities Table must include grading quantity as well as the vertical depth (cut/fill) for all proposed improvements. Earthwork is exempted from a grading permit, if it is associated with the excavation of a foundation for a building or structure, including grading within five (5) feet of the perimeter foundation. Response: The cut & fill associated with utility trenching has been added. Building pad cut & fill is associated with the hardscape that includes asphalt pavement and concrete pad for building. The Table is updated and values transfered to cover sheet PL0.0.
- 8. Clarify if outdoor lighting is proposed.

  Response: There will be Lighting mounted on exterior side of Building.

  The rendering is updated to show the lights on A4.1, and the exterior lights are noted on A2-1.
- 9. The submittal packet did not include a completed color/material board. Submit a color/material board for the proposed structures. The color/material board can be accessed from the link below: <a href="https://stgenpln.blob.core.windows.net/document/DR\_ColorMatBoard.pdf">https://stgenpln.blob.core.windows.net/document/DR\_ColorMatBoard.pdf</a>

  Response: The material/ color board is noted on sheet A3-0.

### ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

10. Obtain and provide a letter/documentation from Stanford (Utilities) stating it has the ability and intent to provide domestic/potable water to the DAPER Corp Yard.
Response: Please see attached SU will serve letter.



### FIRE MARSHAL OFFICE

- 11. Provide the following information on the Cover Sheet. County Fire will conduct an in-depth review once this information is known, for example assessment regarding acceptability of the attached fire flow on sheet C-9.0 (16 of 22) is to be determined.
  - a) Size of structure/s.
  - b) Occupancy type.

#### Note on PL0.0

### Response:

- a. Structure A: 645 SF, 8'-10.5" height
  Structure B: 600 SF, 8'-10.5" height
  Structure C: 4,500 SF, 14'-2-1/8" height
  b. Type VB, Occupancy Type U, Fully Sprinklered
- 12. Sheet C-9.0 (16 of 22) contains "Fire Protection Notes" that appear to be outdated. The 2016 CFC is mentioned multiple times, this should be the 2022 CFC.Note 4 mentions contacting Menlo Park Fire for inspections of roads and hydrants, the responding agency is Palo Alto Fire and the permitting agency is Santa Clara County Fire. Ensure this information is updated at the resubmittal. Response: Updated notes to indicate 2022 CFC instead of 2016. Updated to Palo Alto instead of Menlo Park.